



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/595,970	06/19/2000	Guillaume Sebire	297-009466-US(PAR)	3794
7590	02/06/2004		EXAMINER	
Clarence A Green Perman & Green 425 Post Road Fairfield, CT 06430			WILLIAMS, LAWRENCE B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2634	5
			DATE MAILED: 02/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/595,970	SEBIRE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lawrence B Williams	2634

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 June 2000.

2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 June 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some \* c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                    4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_

2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                    5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.                    6)  Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Specification*

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
  - a.) On page 3, line 1, Examiner suggests applicant delete the word “of”.
  - b.) Under “Brief Description of Drawings”, applicant fails to reference Figs. 7a, 7b, 8a and 8b.

Appropriate correction is required.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sudo (EP 1 052 821 A2).

(1) With regard to claim 1, Sudo discloses in Fig. 1, a method for conveying information over a wireless interface in the form of a digitally encoded message, comprising the steps of providing a set of bits as a first piece of information to be transmitted, applying a certain baseband signal processing method to process the bits to be transmitted, providing a second

piece of information to be transmitted and selecting the baseband signal processing method from a set of allowed baseband signal processing methods in accordance with the provided second piece of information (col. 1, line 30-col. 2, line 24).

(2) With regard to claim 2, Sudo also discloses in Fig. 1, a transmitting device for transmitting information over a wireless interface in the form of a digitally encoded message, comprising: means (12) for providing a set of bits as a first piece of information to be transmitted means for applying a certain baseband signal processing method to process the bits to be transmitted, means (12) for providing a second piece of information to be transmitted and means for selecting the baseband signal processing method from a set of allowed baseband signal processing methods in accordance with the provided second piece of information (col. 1, line 30-col. 2, line 24).

(3) With regard to claim 3, Sudo also discloses in Fig. 1, a receiving device for receiving information over a wireless interface in the form of a digitally encoded message, comprising: means (15) for receiving a set of bits as the representative of a first piece of received information means for applying a certain baseband signal processing method to process the set of bits, means for selecting (19) the baseband signal processing method from a set of allowed baseband signal processing methods so that applying it produces a first piece of received information which satisfies a certain criterion of acceptance and means (22) for providing a second piece of received information in the form of the identified baseband signal processing method.

(4) With regard to claim 4, Sudo also discloses in Fig. 1, a transmission system comprising: a transmitting device and a receiving device, in the transmitting device means for providing a set of bits as a first piece of information to be transmitted and means for applying a

certain baseband signal processing method to process the bits to be transmitted, further in the transmitting device means for providing a second piece of information to be transmitted and means for selecting the baseband signal processing method from a set of allowed baseband signal processing methods in accordance with the provided second piece of information, in the receiving device means for receiving a set of bits as the representative of a first piece of received information and means for applying a certain baseband signal processing method to process the set of bits, and further in the receiving device means for selecting the baseband signal processing method from a set of allowed baseband signal processing methods so that applying it produces a first piece of received information which satisfies a certain criterion of acceptance and means for providing a second piece of received information in the form of the identified baseband signal processing method. Sudo discloses the limitations of claim 4 as these limitations only incorporate the limitations of claims 1-3 above.

### ***Conclusion***

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lawrence B Williams whose telephone number is 703-305-6969. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-5:00) being out of the office every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 703-305-4714. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750.

Lawrence B. Williams

lbw

January 29, 2004



STEPHEN CHIN  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600